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Abstract

TGT cooperative type learning, as a game-based learning methodology, provides opportunities for students to develop skills in group interactions in small groups and work together with others. The world of learning today needs phenomena like this. This study aimed to determine the effect of the TGT cooperative type learning model on students' cognitive learning outcomes on the topic of relay running. This quantitative study was a quasi-experimental pretest-posttest design. The samples involved were 70 students from two different experimental and control classes. The data collection instrument in this study was 10 essay test questions validated and tested. The results showed an effect of the TGT cooperative type learning model on students' cognitive learning outcomes. The achievement test score for the TGT group was 81.67, while the control group was 75.75. It implies that the TGT group performed superior in cognitive learning outcomes when compared to the control group. Thus, it is concluded that the TGT cooperative type learning model can be recommended in improving students' cognitive learning outcomes on the concept of relay running.

INTRODUCTION

Physical education is a continuous activity initiated based on current educational developments (Luo et al., 2020). The main goal of physical education is to offer physical, but how to learn makes students stronger and healthier. In addition, it helps students gain knowledge,
experience, emotional interaction, and team collaboration that significantly impact being able to live in the future when they leave school (Groffik et al., 2020). In contemporary society, cooperation between groups becomes essential in line with the development of science and technology today. Communities need to develop the behaviour to cooperate with others, especially in education and develop skills (Casey & Fernandez-Rio, 2019). The Program for International Student Assessment (PISA) explains that 87% of learning has been problem-solving, collaborative, critical, and creative (He et al., 2019). It shows that learning is more directed at cooperative learning (Leasa et al., 2016). As part of a new teaching trend in education that shifts traditional didactic learning that is independent (Healy et al., 2018). Today, cooperative learning and problem-solving skills are the focus of international attention (Liebech-Lien, 2021).

Physical education only teaches one-way skills without stimulating students to love sports and peer interaction. This pattern of activities will make students bored because the teacher does monotonous teaching, causing students to lose motivation to continue exercising (André et al., 2011); (Bjørke & Mordal Moen, 2020). Suppose this activity is carried out in the same style and pattern. In that case, it will decrease students’ competence and cognitive learning outcomes (Casey & Quennerstedt, 2020). Teachers must demonstrate interpersonal skills in designing teaching to grow students' knowledge and habits related to sports while creating a learning environment that encourages students to be more active (Rivera-Pérez et al., 2020).

Since the 1970s, many educational scientists have developed a cooperative learning model of team-game tournaments (TGT). TGT was initially developed by David DeVries and Keith Edwards of Johns Hopkins University as a suitable learning method (DeVries et al. 1975). TGT allows students to study in heterogeneous groups sorted by gender and learning achievement (Tarim & Akdeniz, 2008). The central concepts of this model are teamwork, individual accountability, social skills, face-to-face interaction, group processing, and every student has an equal opportunity (Johnson & Johnson, 1994); (Wyk, 2011). It means that each individual in the group must contribute and strive to succeed. Cooperative learning emphasizes forming small groups of students with different abilities and backgrounds who pursue individual and group performance through communication, teamwork, and maintaining trust (Dyson, 2002). Several previous results recommend that cooperative learning is effective in improving various learning skills (Casey & Quennerstedt, 2020), motor skills (Bores-García et al., 2021), learning outcomes (Mentz & Zyl, 2018), emotional intelligence (Wattanawongwan et al., 2021), critical thinking skills (Matchett, 2009), social skills (Tran, 2014), creativity (Gossett & Fischer, 2005; Segundo Marcos et al., 2020), problem-solving skills (Alpaslan, 2016), and motivation (Artha et al., 2020).

Regular exercise is essential for a healthy life and affects mental and physical development (Hills et al., 2007). However, many people face barriers to exercising regularly due to high work activity (Visier-Alfonso et al., 2021). In adolescence, a decrease in physical activity has become noticeable. For example, 12% of girls and 19.1% of boys aged 11 to 15 in Germany achieve a level of physical activity of 60 minutes per day (Engels & Freund, 2020). Exercise during childhood has many benefits on physical and mental health and motor and cognitive development (Timmons et al., 2012; WHO, 2016). It prevents overweight and obesity during childhood and adolescence (Bisson et al., 2019). In this activity, 91% of children 2–17 years, 99% of boys, and 94% of girls in America prefer to play online games. This potential is hazardous associated with aggression, addiction, and depression (Ferguson & Olson, 2013). Under these circumstances, teachers must design game-oriented learning tools, one of which is TGT type cooperative learning for students. It can be seen that in recent years the interest in game-based learning for students has increased (Vlachopoulos & Makri, 2017). Physical education learning makes more use of various physical activities to produce holistic changes in the form of improving the physical quality of individuals and mentally and emotionally (Kneaeps et al., 2017).

Physical, cognitive, social, and affective learning is positioned as a legitimate learning outcome from physical education. It has been argued that these four learning outcomes aim to facilitate
student engagement with physically active lives (Bailey et al., 2009; Kirk, 2013). Cooperative Learning is a pedagogical model that can support these four learning outcomes (Kristiansen et al., 2019). The results of previous studies explain that TGT can improve students’ cognitive learning outcomes (Ke, 2008). This is because TGT offers students' physical education learning outcomes in a better direction when compared to traditional learning models (Morgan, 2019). Slavin (2015) described that the cooperative learning model affects learning, which is shown in Figure 1.

**Figure 1. Cooperative Learning Model Has An Effect On Learning**

Within the framework of physical education in Indonesia, cognitive learning outcomes are still the focus of attention for teachers and the main target of students (Andriyani et al., 2021). Cognitive learning outcomes represent students’ intellectual intelligence (Holmlund & Silva, 2014). Several government policies are carried out from time to time to improve student learning in order to get the expected results (Lewis & Nguyen, 2020). Therefore, the learning, practice, and evaluation scheme must involve the cognitive aspects on an ongoing basis (Brewis, 2019). Learning patterns in Ambon-Indonesia, especially physical education subjects, tend to focus on low cognitive (Fenanlampir & Mutohir, 2021). That is because many teachers think that students have not been able to think at a higher level (Leasa, Fenanlampir, et al., 2021).

The classroom is an academic space with intellectual diversity (Kuiken & Vedder, 2021). One of the things that cannot be avoided is the difference in students’ academic abilities (Albus et al., 2021). In a cooperative classroom atmosphere, heterogeneity is one of the main concerns of teachers (Hunter et al., 2016; Leasa et al., 2019). In addition to promoting students to think and practice knowledge, heterogeneity also equips students to build good social relations or competition between students (Peña-Ayala, 2021). Different students’ academic abilities can be facilitated in TGT learning (Slavin, 1980; Salam et al., 2015). Students with low learning outcomes and high cognitive learning outcomes tend to have many differences (Leasa, Batlolona, et al., 2021). In the classroom, students are faced with more challenging situations, have different self-motivation, and are more idealistic to be the best (Chan, 2020). The TGT cooperative type learning model allows students with high and low cognitive abilities to help and motivate each other to improve students’ cognitive learning outcomes (Tarhan & Acar Sesen, 2012). There are many different forms of cooperative learning, such as Teams-Games-Tournament (TGT), Jigsaw, Cooperative Integrated Reading and Composition (CIRC), Learning Together (LT), Student Teams-Achievement Divisions (STAD), Team Assisted Individualization (TAI), Academic Controversy (AC), Group Investigation (GI), etc. (Kagan, 1992). The idea underlying all cooperative learning methods is that students work together to learn and are responsible for their group and their learning (Slavin, 2008; Slavin, 2014).

One of the topics recommended in this study is relay running. Students must play together in groups and try to win the game in this game. This game is played in teams. Each student must be responsible for doing his part (Saavedra et al., 2014). Therefore, every student must have a good strategy and technique in speed in the running. Students must be alert to the instructions for the
start of the game and the movements of their friends. Relay is a game where equal teams compete to achieve a task. Relay running provides an excellent opportunity for teams to compete against each other. Relay running combines individual and teamwork-based tasks (Darby et al., 2020). In this way, students can work together and help each other, but still allow individuals to practice their skills and what they have learned without always depending on the help of others (Hüffmeier et al., 2017). Relay running is one of the numbers in athletics. Relay running is a team run, where runners take turns taking turns carrying the baton from the start line to the finish line. Relay running is one of the events competed in the 4x100 m and 4x400 men and women (Wu et al., 2021). One of the greatest athletes in athletic history is Usain Bolt, winner of eight golds in Olympic history and world records in the 100 m and 4 x 400 m (Čoh et al., 2018). It is a description of relay running, so we explored how to relay running for students with TGT improves cognitive learning outcomes through this research. Physical education learning in elementary schools with the concept of relay running with the TGT type of cooperative learning model is rarely studied. TGT is measured and researched in specific fields of science, for example, in economics learning (Wyk, 2012), Health (Wodarski et al., 2004), and accounting education (Tanner & Lindquist, 1998). Therefore, through this research, it is explored deeper. The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of the TGT cooperative type learning model on students’ cognitive learning outcomes on the topic of relay running.

**METHOD**

This study used a quantitative approach. This experimental method with a quasi-experimental research design used a nonequivalent control group design. This design was carried out to investigate the effect of the TGT cooperative type on students’ cognitive learning outcomes, according to Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>PretesT</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>PosttesT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>Y₁</td>
<td>X₁</td>
<td>Y₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Y₃</td>
<td>X₂</td>
<td>Y₄</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where,
(X₁) : The group that was treated using the TGT cooperative type model
(X₂) : Groups with the method used in school
(Y₁) : Measurement of the initial ability of the experimental group
(Y₂) : Measurement of the final ability of the experimental group
(Y₃) : Measurement of the initial ability of the control group
(Y₄) : Measurement of the final ability of the control group

The population in this study were all fifth-grade students in an elementary school in Langgur, Southeast Maluku. The sample in this study amounted to 70 students consisting of an experimental group of 35 students and 35 students as a control group. The experimental class consisted of 20 male students and 15 female students. The control class consisted of 26 male students and 9 female students. The average fifth grader is 10-11 years old. The sampling technique was done by random sampling technique. The schools referred to in this study were included in the medium-level category. The research instrument used was pretest and posttest, which were used to determine students’ cognitive learning outcomes. The pretest was carried out in the class before being given treatment, and the posttest was carried out after treatment. The test instrument consisted of 10 description questions that are feasible because they have reached valid and reliable indicators (0.797). Before this question was given to the experimental class, 3 physical education experts validated it. They were 2 experts from Pattimura University and 1 expert from elementary school teachers who had a master's degree background. The purpose of taking experts from teachers was to provide input related to the material and test questions according to the needs of elementary school students. The data obtained were then analyzed based on data analysis techniques, including descriptive analysis, assumption or prerequisite tests, and hypothesis testing. After being tested for normality and homogeneity, the average difference was made for the achievement of the two classes.
The analysis used was the analysis of the ANCOVA test with a significant level of 0.05 on the SPSS 23 software.

**FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION**

Cognitive learning outcomes with TGT in the experimental and control classes in the form of pretest and posttest as many as 10 questions can be seen in Figure 2.

![Graph 2](image_url)

**Figure 2. The Results of The Pretest and Posttest In The Experimental and Control Classes**

Graph 2 shows that the TGT post-test score is superior to the control class. Thus, TGT effectively improves students’ cognitive learning outcomes on the topic of relay running. The analysis prerequisite test (normality and homogeneity tests) showed that the two classes were normally distributed and had homogeneous variance. Then hypothesis testing was carried out using the ANCOVA test, which aimed to see the effect of the TGT model on the students' cognitive learning results. The results of the ANCOVA test of students' cognitive learning outcomes in the TGT model treatment are shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type III Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Model</td>
<td>4824.222</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1608.074</td>
<td>329.861</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>212213.778</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>212213.778</td>
<td>4.353E4</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>658.778</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>658.778</td>
<td>135.134</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tes Awal</td>
<td>4096.000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4096.000</td>
<td>840.205</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model Pembelajaran</td>
<td>69.444</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>69.444</td>
<td>14.245</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>156.000</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4.875</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>217194.000</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Total</td>
<td>4980.222</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These results measure the value of sig = 0.001, which is smaller than sig = 0.05. It means that the learning model affects science learning outcomes. In the learning model, it can be seen that Fcount 14.245 with a significance of 0.001 far below the value of sig <0.05, then H0 is rejected while Hα is accepted. It can be concluded that there is a difference in learning outcomes between those who follow the learning using the TGT model and the conventional model.
The TGT class is superior to the control class. It is because the cooperative-based TGT model emphasizes togetherness or cooperation learning. A unique teaching system like this can allow students to collaborate and share knowledge to complete assignments given by the teacher. Through groups, students will act as learning resources to gather information and help each other achieve success (Sugiharto, 2020). Group cooperation shows that the group’s success is determined by learning together in groups. Therefore, in one group, students will be positively responsible. In addition, each group member has individual responsibilities and the opportunity to contribute to the group’s success in the relay running. The following Figure 3 shows some of the relay running techniques.

![Figure 3. Some Relay Running Techniques](image)

Physical education educates students in an assignment and plans to learn activities that will result in students becoming more skilled and understanding the history, traditions, and nuances of the sport and becoming willing participants in sports culture. Sports learning is a learning model that connects the sports taught in physical education to the broader sports culture. Sports learning places students in small teams and takes them through a range of skill practices and developmentally appropriate play with authentic competition (Dyson, 2004). With TGT, cooperative type learning, as a mode of learning, provides opportunities for students to develop skills well. Everyone usually has essential skills to manage to produce the expected goals adequately. In the TGT class, students interact in groups and work with others. This phenomenon is expected by the world today. The findings based on existing data indicate that the learning outcomes of students with TGT are higher (81.65) when compared to conventional learning (75.75). It follows the findings of Wyk (2011), which also explains that the TGT academic achievement score is higher when compared to the control class. It signals that the TGT group is more skilled in academic achievement when compared to traditional classes.

Cooperative emphasizes collaboration, problem-solving, conflict resolution, and working together, which are essential life skills and group students in heterogeneous or homogeneous classes. Groupings can be categorized based on gender, age, interests, and intelligence. (Wyman & Watson, 2020). Besides that, it also has different ethnicities and different cultures (Erbil & Kocabas, 2018). This form offers opportunities for interactive engagement, appears to encourage student participation, stimulates critical thinking, and requires peer interaction (Thoaele et al., 2014). Students in Australia, Singapore, and Malaysia work in culturally similar peer groups where group members agree rather than challenge their way of thinking (Hennebry & Fordyce, 2018). Over the past 20 years, more and more research on the theory and practice of cooperative learning has been linked to physical education in improving students’ academics (Goodyear et al., 2014). Regarding the physical domain, cooperative learning has been associated with improved motor skills, sport technique, and game performance, leading to increased levels of physical activity (Casey, 2014). Relay running is one of the materials favored by elementary school children, which trains fitness,
strength, builds strategy, reasoning, and intellectual intelligence. It also develops other pedagogical elements to foster empathy, caring, mutual respect, support, encouragement, or teamwork. Values related to this pedagogical model encourage good social relations among students (Fernandez-Rio et al., 2017).

Cooperative learning can promote deep learning by encouraging interaction and diversity of perspectives among students. Thus, compared to traditional, competitive, and individualistic approaches, cooperative learning has significantly positively impacted student achievement and learning perceptions that lead to improvements in students’ academic and moral cognition (Arena & Davis, 2021). Scientists agree on the five elements developed by Johnson & Johnson (1994), as shown in Figure 4.

**Figure 4. Five Basic Components of Cooperative Learning**

Teachers need to apply learner-centred methods and strategies. The cooperative method serves as an alternative way of teaching to promote speaking and social interaction among students (Ning, 2011). Cooperative learning is a pedagogical activity that allows positive social interaction and respects diversity, individual needs, and student learning patterns. Students work together in mixed ability playgroups to maximize their learning to achieve a common goal. With cooperative learning, students can act as speakers in learning through dialogue to express opinions, points of view, offer hypotheses and provide input into assignments given by the teacher. In cooperative learning, students develop positive attitudes towards tasks and interpersonal relationships. They tend to improve communication skills and implicit interactions in structured work. This goal can increase motivation in children with low academics, promote analytical skills, and develop other skills related to reasoning and conceptualization.

**CONCLUSION**

Based on the findings, it can be concluded that the TGT cooperative type learning model affects students’ cognitive learning outcomes on the concept of relay running. This is because TGT is a cooperative model that can stimulate students to be enthusiastic in playing and learning. The golden age of elementary school children is playing and learning. Students feel happy and excited when their talents and interests are facilitated in learning that must be in class all the time. Thus, the TGT cooperative model can be recommended in increasing students’ cognitive learning outcomes on the concept of relay running. The suggestions are, 1) It is expected that physical education teachers can carry out TGT activities for other materials or topics in the field of sports, 2) For schools and local and central governments to be able to facilitate teachers and students in the form of good fields or teaching aids that can support and create young seeds of the nation’s golden generation to support sports achievements both student and general scale in the future, 3) further research is expected to research on improving middle and high school for other topics in physical education with the TGT model in improving learning outcomes students, critical, problem-solving, creativity and innovation of student thinking.
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