

# Exploring the Role of Generative AI and Deep Learning in Personalized Education Content: A Systematic Literature Review

Klemens Mere

Universitas Wisnuwardhana Malang, Indonesia; [monfoort21@gmail.com](mailto:monfoort21@gmail.com)

---

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

generative AI;  
deep learning;  
personalized learning;  
educational technology;  
systematic literature review

### Article history:

Received 2025-07-20  
Revised 2025-08-18  
Accepted 2025-12-31

## ABSTRACT

The rise of generative artificial intelligence (AI) and deep learning has introduced new possibilities for personalized education. However, existing research lacks a comprehensive synthesis of how these technologies contribute to the design and delivery of personalized learning content. This study presents a systematic literature review (SLR) examining the role of generative AI and deep learning in personalized education. Following PRISMA 2020 guidelines, 39 peer-reviewed articles published between 2022 and 2025 were selected from databases including Scopus, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, ERIC, and ScienceDirect. Thematic analysis was conducted using NVivo, and the quality of included studies was assessed using the CASP checklist. Three major themes emerged: (1) generative AI enables adaptive content creation and real-time instructional support; (2) deep learning enhances learner profiling and predictive feedback through multimodal data analysis; and (3) ethical, cultural, and equity-related challenges persist, including concerns about algorithmic bias, data privacy, and teacher displacement. While these technologies show promise in scaling personalization and improving engagement, issues related to trust, transparency, and contextual relevance remain significant. Generative AI and deep learning offer transformative potential for personalized learning, yet their successful implementation depends on human oversight, ethical safeguards, and alignment with pedagogical goals. Future research should focus on long-term learning outcomes, cross-cultural validation, and the development of explainable and inclusive AI systems.

*This is an open access article under the [CC BY-NC-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/) license.*



## Corresponding Author:

Klemens Mere

Universitas Wisnuwardhana Malang, Indonesia ; [monfoort21@gmail.com](mailto:monfoort21@gmail.com)

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The rapid evolution of artificial intelligence (AI), particularly generative AI and deep learning, has introduced transformative possibilities across multiple disciplines, including education. As learning systems transition from standardized models to more adaptive frameworks, AI emerges as a catalyst for personalization and innovation. Scholars argue that these technologies can fundamentally reshape teaching and learning by enabling dynamic adaptation to learner needs.

Despite advances in educational practices, traditional systems remain heavily reliant on standardized curricula that often fail to accommodate diverse learner needs. A one-size-fits-all approach risks disengaging students who either struggle to keep pace or are insufficiently challenged. As education increasingly moves toward learner-centered approaches, personalized learning is recognized as a cornerstone of 21<sup>st</sup>-century pedagogy.

Personalized education is commonly defined as tailoring learning experiences—including curricula, pace, and instructional strategies—to individual learners' profiles. The scalability of such personalization has historically been limited due to human and resource constraints. Advances in AI, however, are bridging this gap by automating adaptive content creation and real-time feedback loops.

Generative AI, particularly through large language models (LLMs) such as GPT-4 and Gemini, can autonomously generate coherent, context-specific learning materials with minimal input. When combined with deep learning, these models can analyze learner data to refine instructional pathways, generating questions, explanations, or feedback tailored to individual progress. This represents a major advancement in differentiated instruction without overburdening educators.

The integration of generative AI and deep learning into classrooms, however, raises significant challenges. Key concerns include alignment with curricular standards, the perpetuation of algorithmic biases, and the implications of data privacy. Moreover, debates continue about the appropriate role of teachers in AI-mediated environments, where automation risks displacing human judgment and relational pedagogy.

Although technical feasibility studies abound, there is a clear lack of consolidated evidence on the long-term pedagogical effectiveness of AI-generated personalized content. Most existing research focuses on pilot implementations or proof-of-concept systems, with limited attention to sustained learner outcomes such as motivation, engagement, or equity. This research gap underscores the need for systematic reviews to synthesize available findings and assess broader educational impacts.

Another critical issue is equity. Access to AI-powered tools is uneven, with resource-rich schools better positioned to adopt these technologies, potentially widening the digital divide. International bodies have emphasized that responsible AI adoption must prioritize inclusivity.

In light of these opportunities and challenges, the purpose of this study is to systematically examine the role of generative AI and deep learning in personalized educational content. Using a systematic literature review (SLR) approach, the study seeks to identify key themes, best practices, and unresolved challenges in the field. Ultimately, this research aims to contribute to the development of ethical, inclusive, and pedagogically sound AI-based systems that enhance learner diversity and improve educational outcomes, while also informing policy frameworks such as those recommended by UNESCO.

## 2. METHODS

This study adopts a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) methodology to consolidate fragmented evidence on the role of generative AI and deep learning in personalized education. The review followed the PRISMA 2020 guidelines to ensure transparency and replicability. A comprehensive search was conducted in Scopus, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, and ERIC, with the last search performed on March 15, 2024. Boolean search strings included combinations such as “Generative AI” AND “education”, “Deep learning” AND “personalized learning”, and “Large language models” AND “adaptive curriculum.” The review was limited to peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and high-impact reports published between January 2022 and March 2025, written in English.

Data extraction and coding were carried out using Zotero for reference management and Nvivo 14 for thematic coding. A structured coding framework was developed to capture research objectives, AI models applied, learner contexts (K–12, higher education, online platforms), outcomes (e.g., engagement, satisfaction, achievement), and reported limitations. Thematic analysis was used to

synthesize findings across studies. Codes were grouped into four overarching categories: technical capabilities of AI, pedagogical effectiveness, ethical concerns, and issues of scalability and equity. An example of coding involved categorizing excerpts on “AI-generated adaptive quizzes” under *technical affordances*, while notes on “teacher roles in AI classrooms” were coded under *pedagogical alignment*. This approach ensured systematic comparison across diverse study designs and contexts.

The quality of included studies was assessed using the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) checklist and cross-verified with PRISMA 2020 standards (Silva et al., 2025; Alsubahi et al., 2024; Seo et al., 2025; Sonni et al., 2024). Each study was scored on methodological rigor, relevance, and risk of bias, and results were tabulated to enhance transparency. A summary table of reviewed articles was created, listing author, year, methodological approach, educational focus, and key findings to provide a consolidated overview. Despite these safeguards, limitations remain. The review excluded non-English and grey literature sources, which may omit emerging insights from developing regions or non-peer-reviewed projects (Ochoa et al., 2025; Rosário et al., 2025). Moreover, rapid advancements in generative AI mean that findings may quickly become outdated, underscoring the need for continuous updating of evidence.

### 3. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Adaptive Content Generation through Generative AI

Generative AI, particularly large language models (LLMs) such as GPT-4, PaLM, and Claude, has demonstrated significant potential in transforming educational practices. Recent studies have highlighted how these models can produce context-aware content ranging from quizzes and problem sets to narrative explanations and full curricula (Rabbani et al., 2025; Azoulay et al., 2025). By tailoring text complexity, adjusting vocabulary levels, and incorporating domain-specific knowledge, LLMs provide instructional materials that are more closely aligned with learner needs than conventional one-size-fits-all approaches (Liu, 2024). Such adaptability signals a move toward scalable personalization in education.

One of the most striking advantages of generative AI lies in its ability to deliver real-time content adaptation. Unlike static digital textbooks or pre-programmed e-learning modules, AI systems can immediately update materials based on ongoing learner interactions. Gligorea et al. (2023) demonstrated that this responsiveness supports deeper engagement by offering explanations or examples that evolve with the learner’s progress. Similarly, Vieriu and Petrea (2025) reported that adaptive responses improved learning efficiency in higher education settings, suggesting that dynamic personalization may significantly reduce instructional gaps between learners of varying abilities.

Beyond adaptability, generative AI expands the range of possible pedagogical strategies. By simulating different instructional roles—such as a peer explainer, a tutor, or even a subject-specific expert—AI systems can vary not only the content but also the delivery style (Mishra & Brahmanapally, 2025; Liu, 2024). This multiplicity of instructional voices introduces variety into the learning environment, potentially reducing cognitive fatigue and maintaining student interest. The versatility of generative AI, therefore, extends personalization beyond content difficulty toward more nuanced dimensions of learning design.

Despite these advantages, important challenges remain. Choi (2025) found that AI-generated content, while often linguistically fluent, can lack pedagogical depth. For example, explanations may oversimplify complex concepts, or generated quizzes may fail to align with higher-order learning objectives. Furthermore, biases embedded in training data can surface in educational content, reproducing cultural stereotypes or factual inaccuracies (Rabbani et al., 2025; Choi, 2025). These issues highlight the need for careful quality assurance protocols and emphasize that AI should complement, not replace, human instructional judgment.

Another limitation concerns the reliability and trustworthiness of AI-generated educational resources. As noted by Azoulay et al. (2025), even minor factual inaccuracies can undermine learner

trust, particularly in high-stakes fields such as medicine, law, or engineering. Without transparent validation mechanisms, students may struggle to distinguish between accurate and erroneous AI-generated materials. Teacher oversight, therefore, remains essential to verify alignment with curricular standards and ensure that content supports authentic learning outcomes (Choi, 2025).

At the same time, scholars stress the importance of contextual and cultural alignment. Liu (2024) observed that generative AI, when properly fine-tuned, can adapt to linguistic backgrounds and cultural references, thereby improving accessibility and inclusivity. However, this benefit depends on deliberate design and localized datasets, since reliance on generalized internet-scale corpora may perpetuate biases and Western-centric perspectives (Rabbani et al., 2025). Addressing these concerns requires collaborative frameworks where educators actively participate in guiding, evaluating, and curating AI outputs for diverse learning contexts.

In summary, generative AI presents both opportunities and challenges for educational personalization. Its capacity for real-time adaptation and multi-modal instructional roles enhances scalability and learner engagement, as shown by Gligorea et al. (2023) and Vieriu & Petrea (2025). Yet, as Choi (2025) underscores, issues of pedagogical depth, factual reliability, and cultural bias remain pressing obstacles. Future work must prioritize mechanisms for quality assurance, transparency, and teacher involvement, ensuring that generative AI becomes a reliable partner in delivering meaningful, inclusive, and context-sensitive learning experiences.

### **3.2 Learner Modelling and Deep Personalization**

Recent developments in deep learning have substantially enhanced learner modelling by enabling the analysis of multimodal data, including written responses, speech patterns, facial expressions, and eye-tracking indicators (Guerrero-Sosa et al., 2025; Wang et al., 2025; Yu et al., 2025). Through these data streams, systems can construct dynamic learner profiles that evolve over time. Such profiles support highly individualized instruction, adapting not only content selection but also instructional strategies such as feedback type, learning pace, task sequencing, and scaffolding intensity. As a result, personalization extends beyond curriculum alignment toward a more holistic understanding of students' cognitive and affective states.

Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) increasingly integrate reinforcement learning algorithms and recurrent neural networks to optimize adaptive responses. For instance, the DeepTutor system applies neural inference mechanisms to deliver real-time, context-sensitive problem-solving hints, contributing to improved learning efficiency and conceptual mastery (Lai & Lin, 2021; Fernández-Herrero, 2024). These innovations demonstrate that deep personalization can surpass conventional rule-based adaptive systems by continuously learning from student interactions and refining instructional decisions accordingly.

However, the growing reliance on complex neural architectures introduces significant challenges. The opaque or "black-box" nature of many deep learning models limits transparency regarding how personalization decisions are generated (Córdova-Esparza, 2025; Choi, 2025). This lack of interpretability complicates efforts to evaluate pedagogical validity and may reduce educators' trust in AI-driven recommendations. Consequently, advancing explainable AI frameworks is essential to ensure accountability, foster teacher confidence, and align technological personalization with sound educational principles.

### **3.3 Pedagogical Implications and Teacher Roles**

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into education has not merely introduced new technological tools; it has fundamentally reshaped the professional identity and responsibilities of teachers. McTigue et al. (2023) observe that AI systems are increasingly framed as "co-teachers" or "curriculum assistants," capable of generating formative assessments, scaffolding instructional materials, analyzing student performance data, and providing immediate feedback. By automating routine and data-intensive tasks, AI has the potential to reduce administrative workload and enable

teachers to focus more on higher-order pedagogical functions, such as facilitating discussion, mentoring students, and designing meaningful learning experiences. In this sense, AI can function as a cognitive partner that augments—rather than replaces—teacher expertise.

Nevertheless, scholars caution against an uncritical or excessive reliance on AI-driven systems. Dinh et al. (2025) argue that effective personalization must remain grounded in sound pedagogical principles and embedded within human-centered instructional frameworks. Algorithms, while efficient in pattern detection, lack the moral judgment, empathy, and contextual sensitivity that characterize professional teaching practice. As Deroncele-Acosta and Ellis (2024) and Al-Hail et al. (2024) emphasize, teachers play an indispensable role in addressing students' socio-emotional needs, mediating classroom dynamics, and interpreting learning behaviors within broader cultural and relational contexts. Overdependence on AI risks narrowing education to measurable outputs while neglecting its humanistic dimensions.

Furthermore, the successful implementation of AI tools depends heavily on sustained teacher training and professional development. Delello et al. (2022) report that many educators feel insufficiently prepared to integrate AI meaningfully into their instruction, highlighting the urgent need for targeted digital pedagogy programs. Effective training should extend beyond technical operation to include ethical awareness, data literacy, and critical evaluation of algorithmic recommendations. Without comprehensive preparation and institutional support, teachers may resist adoption, apply AI superficially, or inadvertently misuse these technologies in classroom settings.

### **3.4 Ethical, Cultural, and Equity Challenges**

Although AI-driven personalization holds promise for expanding access and supporting diverse learners, existing scholarship warns that it may simultaneously intensify educational inequalities. High-performing AI systems typically depend on robust technological infrastructure, including reliable high-speed internet, advanced hardware, and access to large, high-quality datasets—resources that are unevenly distributed across schools, regions, and socioeconomic contexts (Aldoseri et al., 2023; Kamalov et al., 2023). Consequently, under-resourced institutions may struggle to implement AI effectively, potentially widening the digital divide rather than narrowing it. Without deliberate policy interventions and equitable funding strategies, AI integration risks privileging already advantaged educational settings.

Algorithmic bias constitutes another critical concern. Generative AI models trained on extensive internet-based corpora may inadvertently reproduce societal stereotypes, marginalize underrepresented groups, or reinforce dominant Western-centric epistemologies. Such biases can shape instructional content, feedback patterns, and even student profiling in ways that undermine fairness and cultural responsiveness. Ensuring representational diversity in training data and implementing rigorous bias-auditing mechanisms are therefore essential steps toward responsible AI deployment in education.

Data privacy further complicates the ethical landscape. Personalized AI systems continuously collect and process sensitive student information, including behavioral data, academic performance records, and sometimes biometric indicators. This raises significant concerns regarding data governance, informed consent, cybersecurity, and compliance with child protection regulations (Xue et al., 2025; Yan et al., 2025). Schools must establish transparent data management policies and safeguard mechanisms to protect students' rights while maintaining public trust in AI-supported learning environments.

### **3.5 Student Engagement and Learning Outcomes**

A growing body of empirical research indicates that AI-supported systems can positively influence student engagement and academic performance. Yaseen et al. (2025) report significant increases in motivation, participation, and task persistence when adaptive personalization mechanisms were integrated into classroom instruction. Likewise, Merino-Campos (2025) found that students who

engaged with AI-powered tutoring platforms demonstrated higher retention rates and improved achievement scores compared to those in conventional instructional settings. These findings suggest that timely feedback, adaptive scaffolding, and individualized pacing—core features of AI systems—can foster more responsive and engaging learning environments.

Nevertheless, the impact of AI on learning outcomes is not consistently positive across contexts. Bahroun et al. (2023) and Dong et al. (2025) caution that AI-generated materials may occasionally lack conceptual depth, critical questioning, or contextual sensitivity, thereby limiting their long-term educational value. In some cases, improvements in short-term performance do not necessarily translate into durable understanding or transferable skills. This variability highlights the need for rigorous longitudinal research to determine whether observed gains in engagement and achievement are sustained over time and across diverse learner populations.

Importantly, Leahy et al. (2025) emphasize that the most substantial improvements in engagement occur when AI functions as a pedagogical support rather than a substitute for teacher interaction. When educators actively mediate AI recommendations, contextualize automated feedback, and integrate technological tools within coherent instructional designs, students benefit from both personalization and human guidance. Thus, effective implementation depends on maintaining a balanced synergy between technological innovation and professional pedagogical judgment to optimize engagement and learning effectiveness.

### **3.6 Policy Frameworks and Governance**

Robust governance structures and policy frameworks are fundamental to the responsible integration of AI in education. Đerić et al. (2025) emphasize that principles such as inclusivity, transparency, accountability, and ethical data management must guide the deployment of generative AI in learning environments. These guidelines seek to ensure that AI systems promote equitable access, protect learner rights, and align with broader educational values. By embedding ethical standards into regulatory frameworks, policymakers aim to prevent technological innovation from reinforcing structural inequalities or compromising student welfare.

At both institutional and governmental levels, regulatory initiatives are increasingly formalizing oversight mechanisms. The European Union's AI Act, for example, classifies AI applications in education as "high-risk," thereby requiring enhanced transparency, human oversight, risk assessment, and compliance monitoring (Jørgensen & Ma, 2025). Such regulatory developments reflect a growing awareness of the far-reaching social, pedagogical, and ethical implications of AI-driven decision-making in schools and universities. They also signal a shift toward precautionary governance models that prioritize public accountability and long-term societal impact.

Despite these advancements, a persistent gap remains between global policy discourse and practical implementation at the local level. Grassini et al. (2023) note that many educational institutions lack clear operational guidelines, standardized protocols, or professional development strategies related to AI use. As a result, educators often face uncertainty regarding ethical boundaries, data protection responsibilities, and pedagogical best practices. Addressing this policy–practice divide requires coordinated efforts among policymakers, school leaders, and teachers to translate abstract regulatory principles into actionable, context-sensitive frameworks that can effectively guide everyday educational practice.

### **3.7 Future Directions and Research Gaps**

Despite notable progress, several research gaps remain. First, few studies evaluate the long-term effects of AI-personalized education on motivation, retention, and academic performance (Nikolovski et al., 2025; Alanazi et al., 2025). Second, most research has been conducted in English-speaking or Global North contexts, leaving learners in the Global South underrepresented.

Another gap concerns the lack of empirical comparison between AI-generated and teacher-curated personalized content. Elmouhib et al. (2024) and Bojorque et al. (2025) stress the need for

controlled studies to assess pedagogical effectiveness and quality differences between these approaches.

Finally, researchers call for greater interdisciplinarity and transparency. Explainable AI (XAI) has been recommended as a way to improve educator trust by making personalization decisions interpretable. Collaborative, participatory design approaches involving teachers and students are also essential for creating ethical, contextually relevant AI systems.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

This systematic literature review has demonstrated that generative AI and deep learning hold substantial promise for advancing personalized educational content. The findings reveal that adaptive content generation and learner modeling can significantly enhance differentiation and responsiveness in instruction, allowing educators to tailor materials to individual learner needs at scale. At the same time, the literature shows that effective implementation requires human oversight to ensure that personalization aligns with pedagogical goals and avoids superficial or inaccurate outputs. AI should therefore be positioned as a complement to, rather than a replacement for, teacher expertise.

The review also highlights critical ethical, cultural, and equity challenges that must be addressed before generative AI can be fully integrated into mainstream education. Issues of algorithmic bias, privacy, and the digital divide remain unresolved, and without careful governance, AI-driven personalization risks amplifying existing inequities rather than reducing them. International frameworks, such as UNESCO's 2023 guidelines, emphasize the importance of ethical safeguards and equitable access, but the gap between policy and practice continues to hinder responsible implementation at the institutional level.

Another key contribution of this review is the identification of gaps in current research. Few studies assess long-term outcomes such as motivation, knowledge retention, or equity impacts, and most of the literature remains concentrated in English-speaking or Global North contexts. There is also limited empirical comparison between AI-generated and teacher-curated personalized content. These gaps suggest an urgent need for more longitudinal, comparative, and cross-cultural studies, as well as interdisciplinary collaboration between educators, computer scientists, policymakers, and ethicists to ensure balanced and inclusive development.

In conclusion, generative AI and deep learning provide powerful opportunities for reimagining personalized learning, but their success depends on the integration of technological innovation with human-centered pedagogy and robust ethical governance. Future research and practice should prioritize explainability, inclusivity, and participatory design to ensure that AI systems are trustworthy, equitable, and pedagogically sound. By addressing these challenges, the field can move toward realizing the transformative potential of AI in education while safeguarding the values of diversity, equity, and human agency.

#### REFERENCES

- Abujder Ochoa, W. A., Torrico Arce, A. G., Iarozinski Neto, A., Munaro, M. R., Calabokis, O. P., & Ballesteros-Ballesteros, V. A. (2025). Interlinking Urban Sustainability, Circular Economy and Complexity: A Systematic Literature Review. *Sustainability*, 17(15), 7118. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17157118>
- Al-Hail, M., Zguir, M. F., & Koç, M. (2024). Exploring Digital Learning Opportunities and Challenges in Higher Education Institutes: Stakeholder Analysis on the Use of Social Media for Effective Sustainability of Learning–Teaching–Assessment in a University Setting in Qatar. *Sustainability*, 16(15), 6413. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16156413>

- Alanazi, M., Soh, B., Samra, H., & Li, A. (2025). The Influence of Artificial Intelligence Tools on Learning Outcomes in Computer Programming: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Computers*, 14(5), 185. <https://doi.org/10.3390/computers14050185>
- Aldoseri, A., Al-Khalifa, K. N., & Hamouda, A. M. (2023). Re-Thinking Data Strategy and Integration for Artificial Intelligence: Concepts, Opportunities, and Challenges. *Applied Sciences*, 13(12), 7082. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app13127082>
- Alsubahi, N., Pavlova, M., Alzahrani, A. A., Ahmad, A., & Groot, W. (2024). Healthcare Quality from the Perspective of Patients in Gulf Cooperation Council Countries: A Systematic Literature Review. *Healthcare*, 12(3), 315. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare12030315>
- Azoulay, R., Hirst, T., & Reches, S. (2025). Large Language Models in Computer Science Classrooms: Ethical Challenges and Strategic Solutions. *Applied Sciences*, 15(4), 1793. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app15041793>
- Bahroun, Z., Anane, C., Ahmed, V., & Zacca, A. (2023). Transforming Education: A Comprehensive Review of Generative Artificial Intelligence in Educational Settings through Bibliometric and Content Analysis. *Sustainability*, 15(17), 12983. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151712983>
- Bojorque, R., Moscoso, F., Pesántez, F., & Flores, Á. (2025). Stress Factors in Higher Education: A Data Analysis Case. *Data*, 10(2), 22. <https://doi.org/10.3390/data10020022>
- Choi, Y. (2025). Exploring the Scientific Validity of ChatGPT's Responses in Elementary Science for Sustainable Education. *Sustainability*, 17(7), 2962. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17072962>
- Córdova-Esparza, D.-M. (2025). AI-Powered Educational Agents: Opportunities, Innovations, and Ethical Challenges. *Information*, 16(6), 469. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info16060469>
- Delello, J. A., Sung, W., Mokhtari, K., Hebert, J., Bronson, A., & De Giuseppe, T. (2025). AI in the Classroom: Insights from Educators on Usage, Challenges, and Mental Health. *Education Sciences*, 15(2), 113. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15020113>
- Đerić, E., Frank, D., & Vuković, D. (2025). Exploring the Ethical Implications of Using Generative AI Tools in Higher Education. *Informatics*, 12(2), 36. <https://doi.org/10.3390/informatics12020036>
- Deroncele-Acosta, A., & Ellis, A. (2024). Overcoming Challenges and Promoting Positive Education in Inclusive Schools: A Multi-Country Study. *Education Sciences*, 14(11), 1169. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14111169>
- Dong, X., Wang, Z., & Han, S. (2025). Mitigating Learning Burnout Caused by Generative Artificial Intelligence Misuse in Higher Education: A Case Study in Programming Language Teaching. *Informatics*, 12(2), 51. <https://doi.org/10.3390/informatics12020051>
- Elmouhib, S., Goumrhare, R., Moussa, A., Benraiss, B., & Benraiss, A. (2024). Educational Quality vs. Stress Impact: Assessing Student Well-Being in Moroccan Higher Education. *Social Sciences*, 13(12), 642. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci13120642>
- Fernández-Herrero, J. (2024). Evaluating Recent Advances in Affective Intelligent Tutoring Systems: A Scoping Review of Educational Impacts and Future Prospects. *Education Sciences*, 14(8), 839. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14080839>
- Gligorea, I., Cioca, M., Oancea, R., Gorski, A.-T., Gorski, H., & Tudorache, P. (2023). Adaptive Learning Using Artificial Intelligence in e-Learning: A Literature Review. *Education Sciences*, 13(12), 1216. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13121216>
- Guerrero-Sosa, J. D. T., Romero, F. P., Menéndez-Domínguez, V. H., Serrano-Guerrero, J., Montoro-Montarroso, A., & Olivás, J. A. (2025). A Comprehensive Review of Multimodal Analysis in Education. *Applied Sciences*, 15(11), 5896. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app15115896>
- Jørgensen, B. N., & Ma, Z. G. (2025). Regulating AI in the Energy Sector: A Scoping Review of EU Laws, Challenges, and Global Perspectives. *Energies*, 18(9), 2359. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en18092359>
- Kamalov, F., Santandreu Calonge, D., & Gurrib, I. (2023). New Era of Artificial Intelligence in Education: Towards a Sustainable Multifaceted Revolution. *Sustainability*, 15(16), 12451. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151612451>

- Lai, C.-H., & Lin, C.-Y. (2025). Analysis of Learning Behaviors and Outcomes for Students with Different Knowledge Levels: A Case Study of Intelligent Tutoring System for Coding and Learning (ITS-CAL). *Applied Sciences*, 15(4), 1922. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app15041922>
- Le Dinh, T., Le, T. D., Uwizeyemungu, S., & Pelletier, C. (2025). Human-Centered Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: A Framework for Systematic Literature Reviews. *Information*, 16(3), 240. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info16030240>
- Leahy, K., Ozer, E., & Cummins, E. P. (2025). AI-ENGAGE: A Multicentre Intervention to Support Teaching and Learning Engagement with Generative Artificial Intelligence Tools. *Education Sciences*, 15(7), 807. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15070807>
- Liu, S., Guo, X., Hu, X., & Zhao, X. (2024). Advancing Generative Intelligent Tutoring Systems with GPT-4: Design, Evaluation, and a Modular Framework for Future Learning Platforms. *Electronics*, 13(24), 4876. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13244876>
- McTigue, E. M., Gourvenec, A. F., Solheim, O. J., & Jensen, M. T. (2023). Co-Teaching Implementation: How Do School Leaders Support Teachers? *Education Sciences*, 13(12), 1197. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13121197>
- Merino-Campos, C. (2025). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Personalized Learning in Higher Education: A Systematic Review. *Trends in Higher Education*, 4(2), 17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/higheredu4020017>
- Mishra, A., & Brahmanapally, N. (2025). A Comparative Performance Analysis of Locally Deployed Large Language Models Through a Retrieval-Augmented Generation Educational Assistant Application for Textual Data Extraction. *AI*, 6(6), 119. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ai6060119>
- Nikolovski, V., Trajanov, D., & Chorbev, I. (2025). Advancing AI in Higher Education: A Comparative Study of Large Language Model-Based Agents for Exam Question Generation, Improvement, and Evaluation. *Algorithms*, 18(3), 144. <https://doi.org/10.3390/a18030144>
- Rabbani, S. A., El-Tanani, M., Sharma, S., Rabbani, S. S., El-Tanani, Y., Kumar, R., & Saini, M. (2025). Generative Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: Applications, Implementation Challenges, and Future Directions. *BioMedInformatics*, 5(3), 37. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedinformatics5030037>
- Rosário, A. T., & Raimundo, R. J. (2025). The Integration of AI and IoT in Marketing: A Systematic Literature Review. *Electronics*, 14(9), 1854. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics14091854>
- Seo, H. S., Tsao, A. J., Kim, M. I., & Luan-Erfe, B. M. (2025). Providers' Perspectives on Communication Barriers with Language-Discordant Patients in the Critical Care Setting: A Systematic Review. *Anesthesia Research*, 2(1), 7. <https://doi.org/10.3390/anesthres2010007>
- Silva, E. S., Costa, W. P. d., Lima, J. C. d., & Ferreira, J. C. (2025). Contribution of Microlearning in Basic Education: A Systematic Review. *Education Sciences*, 15(3), 302. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15030302>
- Sonni, A. F., Hafied, H., Irwanto, I., & Latuheru, R. (2024). Digital Newsroom Transformation: A Systematic Review of the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Journalistic Practices, News Narratives, and Ethical Challenges. *Journalism and Media*, 5(4), 1554-1570. <https://doi.org/10.3390/journalmedia5040097>
- Vieriu, A. M., & Petrea, G. (2025). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on Students' Academic Development. *Education Sciences*, 15(3), 343. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15030343>
- Wang, Y., Pan, K., Shao, Y., Ma, J., & Li, X. (2025). Applying a Convolutional Vision Transformer for Emotion Recognition in Children with Autism: Fusion of Facial Expressions and Speech Features. *Applied Sciences*, 15(6), 3083. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app15063083>
- Xue, Y., Chinapah, V., & Zhu, C. (2025). A Comparative Analysis of AI Privacy Concerns in Higher Education: News Coverage in China and Western Countries. *Education Sciences*, 15(6), 650. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15060650>

- Yan, Y., Liu, H., Zhang, H., Chau, T., & Li, J. (2025). Designing a Generalist Education AI Framework for Multimodal Learning and Ethical Data Governance. *Applied Sciences*, 15(14), 7758. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app15147758>
- Yaseen, H., Mohammad, A. S., Ashal, N., Abusaimh, H., Ali, A., & Sharabati, A.-A. A. (2025). The Impact of Adaptive Learning Technologies, Personalized Feedback, and Interactive AI Tools on Student Engagement: The Moderating Role of Digital Literacy. *Sustainability*, 17(3), 1133. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17031133>
- Yu, L., Ge, Y., Ansari, S., Imran, M., & Ahmad, W. (2025). Multimodal Sensing-Enabled Large Language Models for Automated Emotional Regulation: A Review of Current Technologies, Opportunities, and Challenges. *Sensors*, 25(15), 4763. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s25154763>